

SLO Core Team Meeting Agenda:
Anu Khanna, Jim Haynes, Coleen Lee-Wheat, Toño Ramirez, Mary Pape

At Academic Senate Office 4:00-5:00 pm

Date: July 11, 2012

TOPIC	Purpose	LEADER	Discussion/Action
SLO Coordinator Support	I	All	Awaiting word.
Critical Thinking Task	I/D	Toño	Meeting was held during finals week with 7 members in
Force			attendance. There are 12 active members on the task force. The
			decision was made to evaluate on the basis of Sub-Level, At-
			Level, and Above-Level. Since the ICC of Critical Thinking in its
			expanded form contains twelve bullet points, each member is
			asked define what these three rubric evaluation levels will "look
			like". It has been requested that this input be sent to Toño before
			the September Opening Days.
			At De Anza's Opening Day all college meeting, the provisional rubric will be presented to the faculty. Feedback will be encouraged but faculty will be reminded that the rubric itself was based on input collected during the 2012 SLO Convocation. Volunteer faculty will be sought to beta test the rubric for Critical Thinking assessments during Winter 2013. Then select faculty will conduct assessments with the rubric during Spring 2013.
ACCJC October Report	I/D	Mary	At this time the main focus is on collecting evidence of the SLO work being accomplished at the course and program level. In order
			to accomplish the stated goal of "each faculty member participating
			in the completion of one SLOAC and each department completing

	oshops" were conducted on the
following dates:	1.20
• May 22 from 12:30-1	•
• May 23 from, 1:30 -2	•
• May 24 from 9:00-10	
• June 1 from 2:00-3:0	·
• June 4 from 2:30-3:3	·
• June 5 from 4:30-5:3	·
	1:00 am & from 2:00-3:00 pm;
• July 2 from 2:00-3:0	•
• July 3 from 10:00-1	
• July 11 from 10:00	0 am - 12:00 noon & 2:00 - 4:00 pm;
• July 13 from 10:00	0 am - 12:00 noon
These were intended to assist to	faculty on two distinct levels. The
"helpshop" guided faculty thro	ough the process of choosing
methods of assessment, stating	g the data summaries and reflections,
and choosing meaningful enha	ancements at the course level and at
the program level. Secondly, a	assisted faculty in the actual entry of
the SLOAC or PLOAC into Tr	racDat.
Waiting for more assessments	to be entered at the course and
program level before deciding	on ways to present evidence in the
ACCJC report due October 15	5.
TracDat On the Assessment Data Sumr	mary tab on TracDat the list drop-
down box with "Target Met" v	vs "Target Not Met" has brought up
some doubts by faculty. One li	iaison suggested adding "Target
Partially Met". This is rather c	contrary to the concept that a student
learning outcome is a skill that	t the student will attain by the end of
the course (not partially attain)). Instead of adding such an option,
what needs to be stressed with	faculty is the idea that choosing
"Target Not Met" gives rise to	deciding on enhancements that will
	ce for students and consequently
during future assessments the	target will be met. "Target Not Met"

	should not be construed as negative.
	Health will be added as another instructional area under BHES.
	Each summer a list of courses added, deleted, updated for the upcoming academic year will be given to Mary (or whoever subsequently assumes TracDat gatekeeper role) in spreadsheet format. Then course descriptions will be updated, courses will be deleted, and new courses will be added. Thus, TracDat is to be in sync to the greatest degree possible without dynamic sync.



SLO Core Team Meeting Agenda:

Anu Khanna, Jim Haynes, Coleen Lee-Wheat, Toño Ramirez, Mary Pape

At PE 610 3:00-4:00 pm

Date: July 18, 2012

TOPIC	Purpose	LEADER	Discussion/Action
SLO Coordinator Support	I/D/A	All	Mary will submit soft-copy of 2012-13 SLO Budget to Christina.
			Funding is in place for release time for Jim, Mary & Toño.
AHEAD Conference	I/D	Jim	While this conference was aimed at disabled student services, Jim
			shared two ideas for faculty to consider when developing
			curriculum. The student learning outcome should be decided upon
			first before objectives and topics. As the course outline is being
			filed in with methods of instruction and methods of evaluating the
			universal concepts model should be followed. That is, all possible
			ways to teach and all possible ways to assess the student learning
			outcomes and objectives should be considered.
ACCJC October Report	I/D	Mary	At this time the main focus is on collecting evidence of the SLO
			work being accomplished at the course and program level. In order
			to accomplish the stated goal of "each faculty member participating
			in the completion of one SLOAC and each department completing
			one PLOAC by July 15, "Helpshops" were conducted on the
			following dates:
			• May 22 from 12:30-1:20 pm
			• May 23 from, 1:30 -2:30 pm
			May 24 from 9:00-10:00 am
			• June 1 from 2:00-3:00 pm
			 June 4 from 2:30-3:30 pm
			• June 5 from 4:30-5:30 pm

TracDat	 June 29 at 10:00-11:00 am & from 2:00-3:00 pm; July 2 from 2:00-3:00 pm; July 3 from 10:00-11:00 am; July 11 from 10:00 am – 12:00 noon & 2:00 – 4:00 pm; July 13 from 10:00 am – 12:00 noon These were intended to assist faculty on two distinct levels. The "helpshop" guided faculty through the process of choosing methods of assessment, stating the data summaries and reflections, and choosing meaningful enhancements at the course level and at the program level. Secondly, assisted faculty in the actual entry of the SLOAC or PLOAC into TracDat. Data mining progress will start next week in earnest
Tracibat	Bata mining progress will start next week in earnest



SLO Core Team Meeting Agenda:

Anu Khanna, Jim Haynes, Coleen Lee-Wheat, Toño Ramirez, Mary Pape Marisa Spatafore

At ADMIN 109 3:00-4:30 pm

Date: July 25, 2012

TOPIC	Purpose	LEADER	Discussion/Action
Strengthening Student	I/D/A	Mary,	Oct 3-4 with Post Conference Workshop Oct 5
Success Conference		Toño	http://www.rpgroup.org/events/2012-student-success-
			conference
			The SLO core team will depend on feedback from other attendees:
			Marisa Spatafore and Anu Khanna
Master Plan Update	I/D/A	All	Narrative and data addressing SLO topics will be derived from
(Summer 2012)			October 15 th report for ACCJC
			These are to be presented to Mallory before the end of August
ACCJC Report	I/D/A	Mary,	College Status Report on Student Learning Outcomes
		Toño	Implementation outline was discussed.
			Mandated bullet points were divided up as follows:
			Proficiency Rubric Statement 1: Numerical responses Mary & Jim;
			narrative Jim
			Suggested that this include historical development of the
			process and an explanation that it is only with TracDat
			that there was a place for entry of Program Level SLO
			assessments to be entered.
			Proficiency Rubric Statement 2: Toño
			Suggestions for inclusion: Annual program Review form
			depends upon SLO and PLO work completed at individual

			department/learning area level and were entered using TracDat; Convocation both as evidence of the work being done to assess critical thinking and evidence of groups of faculty meeting to work on SLO and PLO assessments; ICCs are linked to program Level Outcomes; and gap analysis not so much as the term applies to underrepresented populations but to gaps discovered at the course level. Proficiency Rubric Statement 3 & 4: Coleen & Jim This will focus on the work accomplished by the PBTs. Proficiency Rubric Statement 5 & 6: Mary Analysis of data collected from TracDat as well as SLOACs
			Proficiency Rubric Statement 7: Jim & Anu
			Proficiency Rubric Summary: Jim
Critical Thinking Task	I/D	Toño	The rubric developed by the task force will be presented in the
Force update			morning of Opening Day.